Customer No.: 20,995

PE Docket No.: DTARUS.001A

DET 0 4 2004

## AMENDMENT / RESPONSE TRANSMITTAL

Applicant

James Orrin O'Dea

App. No.

10/061,389

Filed

February 1, 2002

For

DIGITAL ERROR MAPPING

CIRCUIT AND METHOD

Examiner

Cynthia H. Britt

Art Unit

2133

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence and all marked attachments are being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on

September 30, 2004

Michael A. Guiliana, Reg. No. 42,611

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Transmitted herewith for filing in the above-identified application are the following enclosures:

- (X) Response to Restriction Requirement in 2 pages.
- (X) The present application qualifies for small entity status under 37 C.F.R. § 1.27.
- (X) Return prepaid postcard.
- (X) Please charge any additional fees, including any fees for additional extension of time, or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 11 1410.

Michael A. Guiliana Registration No. 42,611 Attorney of Record Customer No. 20,995

(949) 760-0404

H:\DOCS\MAG\MAG-7067.DOC 093004

2133

DTARUS.001A PATENT

### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

James Orrin O'Dea Group Art Unit 2133 **Applicant** I hereby certify that this correspondence and all 10/061,389 Appl. No. marked attachments are being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Filed February 1, 2002 Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-DIGITAL ERROR MAPPING For CIRCUIT AND METHOD Examiner Cynthia H. Britt

# RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

### Dear Sir:

In response to the restriction requirement, Applicants elect Group I (Claims 1-9) for prosecution in the present application.

The present election is being made with traverse. Applicant submits that it is well established that:

If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to independent or distinct inventions.

## M.P.E.P. § 803 (emphasis added).

The non-elected Group is directed to an apparatus for error mapping a memory array and the elected Group is drawn to an apparatus for detecting an invalid bit pattern in a bit stream. A proper search for art related to the elected Group would necessarily include the classes and subclasses relevant to a search for the non-elected Group. Additionally, Applicant wishes to point out that there are only twenty (20) presently pending claims. Thus, examination of all the claims would not present "a serious burden" on the Examiner.

Appl. No. : 10/061,389

Filed: February 1, 2002

Applicants therefore respectfully request that the present restriction requirement be withdrawn.

By:

Respectfully submitted,

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

Dated: September 30, 2004

Michael A. Guiliana Registration No. 42,611 Attorney of Record Fourteenth Floor 2040 Main Street Irvine, CA 92614 (949) 760-0404

 $H: \label{locs} \label{locs} H: \label{locs} \label{locs} \mbox{Harius} \mbox{\cite{thmag}} \mbox{\ci$